

Homework 3

- 8.6** (a) $E(\hat{\theta}_3) = aE(\hat{\theta}_1) + (1-a)E(\hat{\theta}_2) = a\theta + (1-a)\theta = \theta$
 (b) $V(\hat{\theta}_3) = a^2V(\hat{\theta}_1) + (1-a)^2V(\hat{\theta}_2) = a^2\sigma_1^2 + (1-a)^2\sigma_2^2$, since it was assumed that $\hat{\theta}_1$ and $\hat{\theta}_2$ are independent. To minimize $V(\hat{\theta}_3)$, we can take the first derivative (with respect to a), set it equal to zero, to find

$$a = \frac{\sigma_2^2}{\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2}$$

(One should verify that the second derivative test shows that this is indeed a minimum.)

- 8.17** It is given that \hat{p}_1 is unbiased, and since $E(Y) = np$, $E(\hat{p}_2) = \frac{np+1}{n+2}$.

(a) $B(\hat{p}_2) = \frac{np+1}{n+2} - p = \frac{1-2p}{n+2}$

(b) Since \hat{p}_1 is unbiased, $MSE(\hat{p}_1) = V(\hat{p}_1) = \frac{p(1-p)}{n}$. $MSE(\hat{p}_2) = V(\hat{p}_2) + B(\hat{p}_2) = \frac{np(1-p) + (1-2p)^2}{(n+2)^2}$.

- (c) Considering the inequality

$$\frac{np(1-p) + (1-2p)^2}{(n+2)^2} > \frac{p(1-p)}{n},$$

this can be written as

$$(8n+4)p^2 - (8n+4)p + n > 0.$$

Solving for p using the quadratic formula, p will be away from .5 by at least $\sqrt{\frac{n+1}{8n+4}}$.

- 8.28** The point estimate is given by the difference of the sample proportions: $.70 - .54 = .16$ and an error bound is $2\sqrt{\frac{.7(.3)}{180} + \frac{.54(.46)}{100}} = .121$.

- 8.90** (a) For the 95% CI for the difference in mean verbal scores, the pooled sample variance is $s_p^2 = \frac{14(42)^2 + 14(45)^2}{28} = 1894.5$ and thus

$$446 - 534 \pm 2.048\sqrt{1894.5\left(\frac{2}{15}\right)} = -88 \pm 32.55 \quad \text{or} \quad (-120.55, -55.45)$$

- (b) For the 95% CI for the difference in mean math scores, the pooled sample variance is $s_p^2 = \frac{14(57)^2 + 14(52)^2}{28} = 2976.5$ and thus

$$548 - 517 \pm 2.048\sqrt{2976.5\left(\frac{2}{15}\right)} = 31 \pm 40.80 \quad \text{or} \quad (-9.8, 71.8)$$

- (c) At the 95% confidence level, there appears to be a difference in the two mean verbal SAT scores achieved by the two groups. However, a difference is not seen in the math SAT scores.
 (d) We assumed that the sample measurements were independently drawn from normal populations with $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2$.

- 8.93** (a) Since the two random samples are assumed to be independent and normally distributed, the quantity $2\bar{X} + \bar{Y}$ is normally distributed with mean $2\mu_1 + \mu_2$ and variance $(\frac{4}{n} + \frac{3}{m})\sigma^2$. Thus, if σ^2 is known, then $2\bar{X} + \bar{Y} \pm 1.96\sigma\sqrt{\frac{4}{n} + \frac{3}{m}}$ is a 95% CI for $2\mu_1 + \mu_2$.

- (b) Recall the $\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2$ has a chi-square distribution with $n - 1$ degrees of freedom. Thus, $[\frac{1}{3\sigma^2}] \sum_{i=1}^m (Y_i - \bar{Y})^2$ is chi-square with $(m - 1)$ degrees of freedom and the sum of these is chi-square with $n + m - 2$ degrees of freedom. Then, by using Definition 7.2, the quantity

$$T = \frac{2\bar{X} + \bar{Y} - (2\mu_1 + \mu_2)}{\sigma \sqrt{\frac{4}{n} + \frac{3}{m}}},$$

where

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2 + \frac{1}{3} \sum_{i=1}^m (Y_i - \bar{Y})^2}{n + m - 2}.$$

Then, the 95% CI is given by $2\bar{X} + \bar{Y} \pm t_{.025} \sigma \sqrt{\frac{4}{n} + \frac{3}{m}}$.

Question 6 Denote Y as the number of phone calls professor receive each night, and suppose professor has friends $1, 2, \dots, K$, each has probability p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k (which are very small and sum to 1) to make a phone call to professor. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} P(Y = 1) &= p_1(1 - p_2)(1 - p_3) \cdots (1 - p_k) \\ &\quad + p_2(1 - p_1) \cdots (1 - p_k) \\ &\quad + \vdots \\ &\quad + (1 - p_1)(1 - p_2) \cdots (1 - p_{k-1})p_k \\ &\approx p_1 e^{-1} + \dots + p_k e^{-1} \\ &\approx e^{-1} \end{aligned}$$