

## Assignment 4

- Using a calculator (*not* a computer), perform Exercise 4.11 from the textbook, Section 4.8. You should assume that the model has an intercept as its first mean-related parameter, and use notation of the form  $X_j$  to represent the  $j^{\text{th}}$  independent variable (and  $X_{ij}$  to represent its value for the  $i^{\text{th}}$  observation, if needed).

*In addition*, when you answer part (e), do the following:

- First write out an appropriate *scalar* model equation for the reduced model, defining any new variables and corresponding parameters you may need. How can you tell that this is a *linear* reduced model?
- Next, *compute*  $(\mathbf{X}^*)'\mathbf{X}^*$  and  $(\mathbf{X}^*)'\mathbf{Y}$ , where  $\mathbf{X}^*$  is the  $\mathbf{X}$  matrix corresponding to the reduced model defined by your scalar model equation. (Hint: You should be able to compute these from  $\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}$  and  $\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{Y}$  by considering the relationship between your new variable(s) and the original variables.)
- Finally, *compute* the residual sum of squares for your reduced model, using your results from previous parts. Use this value and your previous results to perform an  $F$ -test of the GLH from part (d) (with  $\alpha = 0.05$ ).

[Note: In parts (b) and (c), “standard error” refers to the *estimated* standard error. In part (d), give *both* degrees of freedom used in the test.]

- Refer to the model and your data from Problem 2 of Assignment 3 (rental car volume of business) to answer the following parts. (You may perform the computations by hand or using SAS® or R. Submit any relevant code and output.)
  - Test the null hypothesis that  $\beta_1 = \beta_2 = 0$  (i.e. that volume of travel, whether business-related or personal, is not linearly related to volume of car rentals). Use  $\alpha = 0.05$ .
  - Form an individual 95% two-sided confidence interval for  $\beta_1$  (the unit increase in number of rentals per unit increase in number of business travelers).
  - Test the null hypothesis that  $\beta_2 = 0$  versus the alternative hypothesis that  $\beta_2 > 0$  (i.e. whether or not an increase in non-business tourism increases volume of rentals). Use  $\alpha = 0.05$ .
  - Based on the full linear regression model that you estimated, compute a prediction  $\hat{Y}$  of the volume of rental business in a month in which 16 thousand business travelers and 22 thousand other tourists visit the city. Estimate the variance of  $\hat{Y}$  and the mean squared error of prediction. Form a 95% two-sided prediction interval.
  - Test the null hypothesis that  $\beta_1 = \beta_2$  versus the alternative that  $\beta_1 \neq \beta_2$  (i.e. determine whether the apparent rental rate among business travelers is different than that among other tourists). Use  $\alpha = 0.05$ .
  - Obtain  $R(\beta_0)$  and the following sequential sums of squares:  $R(\beta_1 | \beta_0)$ ,  $R(\beta_2 | \beta_0, \beta_1)$
  - Obtain the *partial* sums of squares for  $X_1$  and  $X_2$ . What hypotheses are these used to test? (Give the appropriate null and alternative hypotheses in each case.)

- (h) Form Bonferroni 95% simultaneous two-sided confidence intervals for  $\beta_0$ ,  $\beta_1$ , and  $\beta_2$ .
- (i) Form Scheffé 95% simultaneous two-sided confidence intervals for  $\beta_0$ ,  $\beta_1$ , and  $\beta_2$ .

3. For data from the linear regression model

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \epsilon,$$

the *coefficient of partial determination* between  $Y$  and  $X_1$  (after controlling for  $X_2$ ) is

$$r_{Y, X_1 | X_2}^2 = \frac{R(\beta_1 | \beta_0 \beta_2)}{\text{SS}(\text{Res}_{\text{red}})}$$

where  $\text{SS}(\text{Res}_{\text{red}})$  is the residual sum of squares for the model after dropping  $X_1$  (but retaining  $X_2$ ).

In words, it is the ratio of the partial sum of squares for  $X_1$  to the residual sum of squares for the model without  $X_1$ . Conceptually, it is the fraction of that variation in  $Y$  not explained by  $X_2$  that *is* explained by  $X_1$  (when it is added to the model already containing  $X_2$ ). It represents the strength of the marginal contribution of  $X_1$  for predicting  $Y$  after accounting for the contribution from  $X_2$  alone.

The coefficient of partial determination between  $Y$  and  $X_2$  (after controlling for  $X_1$ ), denoted  $r_{Y, X_2 | X_1}^2$ , is defined similarly (with the roles of  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  interchanged).

- (a) Show algebraically that (with probability 1)

$$r_{Y, X_1 | X_2}^2 \leq R^2,$$

where  $R^2$  is the usual coefficient of (multiple) determination for the full model. Also, state the condition under which this inequality becomes an equality (using only  $R$ -notation).

Identify any facts or definitions from lecture or the textbook that you use in your derivation.

- (b) Compute  $r_{Y, X_1 | X_2}^2$  and  $r_{Y, X_2 | X_1}^2$  for the model and data you used in Problem 2 above (which is the same model and data as for Problem 2 on Assignment 3).

[Remark: This definition can be extended easily to models with more than two independent variables, by controlling for all other independent variables. The “Partial R-Square” that appears in some SAS® output is an example of this.]