

PhD Qualifying Examination  
Department of Statistics, University of Florida  
August 23, 2002, 8:00 am - 12:00 noon

**Instructions:**

1. You have exactly four hours to answer questions in this examination.
2. There are 8 problems of which you must answer 6.
3. Only your first 6 problems will be graded.
4. Write only on one side of the paper, and start each question on a new page.
5. Write your **number** on every page.
6. Do not write your name anywhere on your exam.
7. You must show your work to receive credit.
8. While the eight questions are equally weighted, within a given question, the parts may have different weights.

The following abbreviations are used throughout:

- ANOVA = analysis of variance
- GLM = generalized linear model
- iid = independent and identically distributed
- ML = maximum likelihood
- UMP = uniformly most powerful

1. Let  $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$  be a sequence of random variables such that for some  $\beta \in (0, \infty)$ ,

$$\sup_{n \geq 1} E|X_n|^\beta < \infty.$$

- (a) Prove that for all  $\alpha \in (0, \beta)$  the sequence of random variables  $\{|X_n|^\alpha, n \geq 1\}$  is uniformly integrable.  
 (b) Demonstrate by example that the sequence of random variables  $\{|X_n|^\beta, n \geq 1\}$  is not necessarily uniformly integrable.

2. Let  $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i, n \geq 1$  where  $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$  is a sequence of independent random variables and let  $\{b_n, n \geq 1\}$  be a sequence of positive constants with  $b_n \uparrow \infty$ .

(a) Prove that if

$$\frac{S_n}{b_n} \rightarrow 0 \text{ almost certainly,}$$

then

$$\frac{\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} |S_j|}{b_n} \rightarrow 0 \text{ almost certainly.}$$

(b) Prove that if

$$\frac{S_n}{b_n} \xrightarrow{P} 0,$$

then

$$\frac{\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} |S_j|}{b_n} \xrightarrow{P} 0.$$

3. Consider the linear model

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon},$$

where  $\mathbf{X}$  is  $n \times p$  of rank  $p$  ( $p < n$ ),  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$  is a fixed unknown parameter vector, and  $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sim N(\mathbf{0}, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_n)$ . Let  $SS_E$  be the residual sum of squares.

- (a) Find the maximum likelihood estimate of  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$  given that  $\mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbf{m}$ , where  $\mathbf{A}$  is a known matrix of order  $s \times p$  and rank  $s$  ( $s \leq p$ ), and  $\mathbf{m}$  is a known vector.  
 (b) Find  $SS_E^c$ , the residual sum of squares under the condition  $\mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbf{m}$ .  
 (c) Find the probability that  $SS_E^c \geq SS_E + 5$ , given that  $\sigma^2 = 1$  and  $\mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbf{m}$ .  
 (d) What distribution does  $(SS_E^c - SS_E)/SS_E$  have given that  $\mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbf{m}$ ?  
 (e) Are  $(SS_E^c - SS_E)$  and  $SS_E$  distributed independently? Why or why not?

4. Consider the balanced, two-fold nested model

$$y_{ijk} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_{ij} + \epsilon_{ijk},$$

where  $i = 1, 2, \dots, a$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, b$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , the  $\alpha_i$  are fixed, and  $\beta_{ij}$  and  $\epsilon_{ijk}$  are distributed independently as  $N(0, \sigma_\beta^2)$  and  $N(0, \sigma_\epsilon^2)$ , respectively.

- Show that  $\phi = \sum_{i=1}^a \lambda_i \alpha_i$  is estimable if and only if  $\phi$  is a contrast; that is,  $\sum_{i=1}^a \lambda_i = 0$ .
- Find  $100(1 - \alpha)\%$  simultaneous confidence intervals for all  $\phi = \sum_{i=1}^a \lambda_i \alpha_i$  such that  $\phi$  is a contrast.
- Develop an exact test statistic for testing the hypothesis  $H_0 : \sigma_\beta^2 = 2 \sigma_\epsilon^2$  versus  $H_a : \sigma_\beta^2 \neq 2 \sigma_\epsilon^2$ . Also, draw the corresponding rejection region for an  $\alpha$ -level of significance.
- Let  $h^2$  be defined as

$$h^2 = \frac{\sigma_\beta^2}{\sigma_\beta^2 + \sigma_\epsilon^2}.$$

Let  $\hat{h}^2$  be its ANOVA estimator. Show how to obtain the expected value of  $\hat{h}^2$  given that  $\sigma_\beta^2 = 5 \sigma_\epsilon^2$ .

- Write down a complete definition of a GLM for a set of responses,  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$ . Define all the components of the model, such as link function, linear predictor, variance function, dispersion parameter, etc.
  - Derive the maximum likelihood estimating equations for the regression parameters of a GLM.
  - Show that the Fisher scoring algorithm for finding the ML estimates of the regression parameters is equivalent to iteratively reweighted least squares (IRLS).
  - Explain how you would calculate a GLM version of Cook's D based on the final iteration of IRLS.

6. Consider the gamma density

$$f(y; \lambda, \beta) = \begin{cases} \frac{\beta^\lambda}{\Gamma(\lambda)} y^{\lambda-1} e^{-\beta y} & y > 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where  $\lambda > 0$  and  $\beta > 0$ .

- Show that this density can be written in exponential dispersion form.
- Identify the dispersion and canonical parameters,  $\phi$  and  $\theta$  respectively, in terms of  $\lambda$  and  $\beta$ .
- Identify the cumulant function,  $b(\theta)$ , and hence derive the canonical link and variance functions for a gamma GLM.
- Derive the deviance function for a gamma GLM.

7. (a) State the Neyman-Pearson Lemma. (Hint: Recall that there are three parts - existence, sufficiency, and necessity.)
- (b) Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be iid  $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ . Suppose that  $\mu = \mu_0$  is known. Using **only** the Neyman-Pearson Lemma, show that there exists a UMP test for testing  $H : \sigma \leq \sigma_0$  against  $K : \sigma > \sigma_0$ , which rejects when  $\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu_0)^2$  is too large.

8. Let  $X$  be a discrete random variable with support  $\mathcal{X}$  and mass function  $P_\theta(X = x)$  which depends on the unknown parameter  $\theta \in \Theta$ . Consider using the observed value of  $X$  to estimate  $\theta$  under the loss function  $L(\cdot, \cdot)$ . As usual, let the risk function of an estimator  $\delta : \mathcal{X} \mapsto \Theta$  be the expected loss; that is,

$$R(\theta, \delta) = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} L(\theta, \delta(x)) P_\theta(X = x).$$

- (a) Give the definition of *minimax estimator*.
- (b) Let  $\pi(\theta)$  be a proper prior density for  $\theta$ . The *Bayes risk* of the estimator  $\delta$  with respect to  $\pi$  is defined as  $r(\pi, \delta) = \int_{\Theta} R(\theta, \delta) \pi(\theta) d\theta$ . Let  $\delta_\pi$  denote the *Bayes estimator with respect to  $\pi$* . How is  $\delta_\pi$  defined?
- (c) Give the definition of *least favorable prior*.
- (d) Suppose that, for a particular prior  $\pi^*$ ,

$$r(\pi^*, \delta_{\pi^*}) = \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} R(\theta, \delta_{\pi^*}).$$

Show that

1. The estimator  $\delta_{\pi^*}$  is minimax.
  2. If  $\delta_{\pi^*}$  is the unique Bayes estimator with respect to  $\pi^*$ , then  $\delta_{\pi^*}$  is the unique minimax estimator.
  3. The prior  $\pi^*$  is least favorable.
- (e) Suppose  $X \sim \text{Geometric}(\theta)$ ; that is,

$$P_\theta(X = x) = \theta(1 - \theta)^x$$

for  $x \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  and  $\theta \in (0, 1)$ . Note that  $E(X|\theta) = \frac{1}{\theta} - 1$  and  $\text{Var}(X|\theta) = \frac{1-\theta}{\theta^2}$ . Consider a prior for  $\theta$  that puts positive probability on only two values of  $\theta$ . Specifically, consider the prior given by  $P(\theta = 1/4) = 2/3$  and  $P(\theta = 1) = 1/3$ . Show that the Bayes estimator of  $\theta$  with respect to this prior under squared error loss is minimax.