

STA7346 - HWK 1

September 10, 2008

Problem 1.2

Let X_1, \dots, X_n be uncorrelated random variables with common expectation θ and variance σ^2 . Then, among all linear estimators $\sum \alpha_i X_i$ of θ satisfying $\sum \alpha_i = 1$, the mean \bar{X} has the smallest variance.

Solution. $Var(\sum \alpha_i X_i) = \sum Var(\alpha_i X_i) = \sum \alpha_i^2 Var(X_i) = \sigma^2 \sum \alpha_i^2$, so we must minimize $\sum \alpha_i^2$. We will do this using the method of Lagrange multipliers, where we wish to minimize the function $f(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) = \sum \alpha_i^2$ subject to the constraint $g(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) = \sum \alpha_i - 1 = 0$.

Define

$$\Lambda = f(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) - \lambda g(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) = \sum \alpha_i^2 - \lambda \sum \alpha_i + \lambda.$$

Taking derivatives and setting them equal to zero, we get

$$\frac{\partial \Lambda}{\partial \alpha_j} = 2\alpha_j - \lambda = 0$$

for $j = 1, \dots, n$. We can sum these n equations to get

$$2 \sum \alpha_j - n\lambda = 0,$$

and solving for λ yields

$$\lambda = \frac{2 \sum \alpha_j}{n} = \frac{2}{n}.$$

We can substitute this back into each of the n equations and solve for α_j to yield

$$\alpha_j = \frac{1}{n}$$

for $j = 1, \dots, n$. It should be noted that this choice could yield either the maximum or the minimum. To check, we can compare this choice to an alternative choice of $\alpha_1 = 1, \alpha_2 = \dots = \alpha_n = 0$. This alternative choice would yield

$$\sum \alpha_i^2 = 1 > \frac{1}{n} = \sum \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^2,$$

which implies that our solution is indeed the minimum, and not the maximum. Therefore the mean \bar{X} has the smallest variance among all estimators of the type specified.

Comment: When you want to use *Lagrange multipliers* to find minimum, you need to verify that your solution is indeed the minimum.

Problem 1.3

In the preceding problem, minimize the variance of $\sum \alpha_i X_i$ ($\sum \alpha_i = 1$)

(a) When the variance of X_i is σ^2/α_i (α_i known).

Solution. $Var(\sum \alpha_i X_i) = \sum \alpha_i^2 Var(X_i) = \sum \alpha_i \sigma^2 = \sigma^2$. Thus any choice of positive $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ that meet the constraint will yield the same variance.

(b) When the X_i have common variance σ^2 but are correlated with common correlation coefficient ρ .

Solution.

$$\begin{aligned} Var(\sum \alpha_i X_i) &= \sum \alpha_i^2 Var(X_i) + 2 \sum_{i < j} \alpha_i \alpha_j Cov(X_i, X_j) \\ &= \sigma^2 \sum \alpha_i^2 + 2 \sum_{i < j} \alpha_i \alpha_j \rho \sigma^2 \\ &= \sigma^2 \left(\sum \alpha_i^2 + 2 \sum_{i < j} \alpha_i \alpha_j + (2\rho - 2) \sum_{i < j} \alpha_i \alpha_j \right) \\ &= \sigma^2 \left(\left(\sum \alpha_i \right)^2 + 2(\rho - 1) \sum_{i < j} \alpha_i \alpha_j \right) \\ &= \sigma^2 \left(1 + 2(\rho - 1) \sum_{i < j} \alpha_i \alpha_j \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\rho - 1 < 0$, minimizing the variance is equivalent to maximizing $\sum \sum_{i < j} \alpha_i \alpha_j$. We will do this using the method of Lagrange multipliers, where we wish to minimize the function $f(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) = \sum \sum_{i < j} \alpha_i \alpha_j$ subject to the constraint $g(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) = \sum \alpha_i - 1 = 0$.

Define

$$\Lambda = f(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) - \lambda g(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) = \sum_{i < j} \alpha_i \alpha_j - \lambda \sum \alpha_i + \lambda.$$

Taking derivatives and setting them equal to zero, we get

$$\frac{\partial \Lambda}{\partial \alpha_j} = \sum \alpha_i - \alpha_j - \lambda = 0$$

for $j = 1, \dots, n$. Substituting using the constraint yields

$$1 - \alpha_j - \lambda = 0$$

for $j = 1, \dots, n$. We can sum these n equations to get

$$n - \sum \alpha_j - n\lambda = 0,$$

and solving for λ yields

$$\lambda = \frac{n - \sum \alpha_j}{n} = \frac{n - 1}{n}.$$

We can substitute this back into each of the n equations and solve for α_j to yield

$$\alpha_j = \frac{1}{n}$$

for $j = 1, \dots, n$. It should be noted that this choice could yield either the maximum or the minimum. To check, we can compare this choice to an alternative choice of $\alpha_1 = 1, \alpha_2 = \dots = \alpha_n = 0$. This alternative choice would yield

$$\sum \sum_{i < j} \alpha_i \alpha_j = 0 < \frac{n-1}{2n} = \sum \sum_{i < j} \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^2,$$

which implies that our solution is indeed the maximum, and not the minimum. (Remember that to minimize the variance of the estimator, we must maximize $\sum \sum_{i < j} \alpha_i \alpha_j$.) Therefore the mean \bar{X} has the smallest variance among all estimators of the type specified.

Problem 1.12

a) Let

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{(k-1)}{(1+|x|)^k}, k \geq 2$$

To show that f is a probability density note that since $k \geq 2$

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{(k-1)}{(1+|x|)^k} \geq 0$$

Note that $f(x) = f(-x)$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{R}$, f is an even function. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) dx &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} \frac{(k-1)}{(1+|x|)^k} dx \\ &= \int_0^{\infty} \frac{(k-1)}{(1+x)^k} dx \\ &= \int_0^{\infty} \frac{(k-1)}{(1+x)^k} dx \\ &= \int_1^{\infty} (k-1)u^{-k} du && (u = x + 1) \\ &= -u^{1-k} \Big|_1^{\infty} \\ &= 0 - (-1) \\ &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

Since $f(x) \geq 0$ and $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) dx = 1$, it follows that f is a p.d.f..

To show that all the moments of order $p < k - 1$ are finite, note that it suffices to show that $E|X|^{k-2} < \infty$ because this would imply that all

moments of order $p \leq k - 2 < k - 1$ are finite. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
EX^{k-2} &\leq E|X|^{k-2} \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |x|^{k-2} f(x) dx \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} \frac{(k-1)|x|^{k-2}}{(1+|x|)^k} dx \\
&= \int_0^{\infty} \frac{(k-1)|x|^{k-2}}{(1+|x|)^k} dx \\
&= \int_0^{\infty} (k-1) \left(\frac{x}{1+x}\right)^{k-2} (1+x)^{-2} dx \\
&< \int_0^{\infty} (k-1)(1+x)^{-2} dx && (k \geq 2 \text{ and } \frac{x}{1+x} < 1 \Rightarrow \left(\frac{x}{1+x}\right)^{k-2} < 1) \\
&= \int_1^{\infty} (k-1)u^{-2} du && (u = x+1) \\
&= -(k-1)u^{-1} \Big|_1^{\infty} \\
&= 0 + k - 1 \\
&< \infty
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the $(k-2)^{th}$ moment is finite and this implies that all moments of order $p < k - 1$ are finite.

b) We need to show that $2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f^2(x) dx < f(0)$. Note that

$$k - 1 < k - \frac{1}{2} = \frac{(2k-1)}{2} \Rightarrow \frac{k-1}{(2k-1)} < \frac{1}{2}$$

Since $k - 1 > 0$, we obtain

$$\frac{(k-1)^2}{(2k-1)} < \frac{k-1}{2} = f(0)$$

Let's compute $2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f^2(x) dx$.

$$\begin{aligned}
2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f^2(x) dx &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} \frac{(k-1)^2}{(1+|x|)^2 k} dx \\
&= \int_0^{\infty} \frac{(k-1)^2}{(1+x)^{2k}} dx \\
&= \int_1^{\infty} (k-1)^2 u^{-2k} du && (u = x+1) \\
&= \frac{(k-1)^2 u^{1-2k}}{1-2k} \Big|_1^{\infty} \\
&= \frac{(k-1)^2}{(2k-1)} < \frac{k-1}{2} = f(0)
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f^2(x) dx < f(0)$.

Problem 1.13

(a) If X is binomial $b(p, n)$, show that

$$E \left| \frac{x}{n} - p \right| = \binom{n-1}{k-1} p^k (1-p)^{n-k+1} \quad \text{for} \quad \frac{k-1}{n} \leq p \leq \frac{k}{n}.$$

Solution.

$$\begin{aligned}
E \left| \frac{X}{n} - p \right| &= \sum_{x=0}^n \left| \frac{x}{n} - p \right| \binom{n}{x} p^x (1-p)^{n-x} \\
&= \sum_{x=0}^{k-1} \left(p - \frac{x}{n} \right) \binom{n}{x} p^x (1-p)^{n-x} + \sum_{x=k}^n \left(\frac{x}{n} - p \right) \binom{n}{x} p^x (1-p)^{n-x} \\
&= \sum_{x=0}^{k-1} \left(p - \frac{x}{n} \right) \binom{n}{x} p^x (1-p)^{n-x} - \sum_{x=k}^n \left(p - \frac{x}{n} \right) \binom{n}{x} p^x (1-p)^{n-x} \\
&= 2 \sum_{x=0}^{k-1} \left(p - \frac{x}{n} \right) \binom{n}{x} p^x (1-p)^{n-x} - \sum_{x=0}^n \left(p - \frac{x}{n} \right) \binom{n}{x} p^x (1-p)^{n-x} \\
&= 2 \sum_{x=0}^{k-1} \left(p - \frac{x}{n} \right) \binom{n}{x} p^x (1-p)^{n-x}
\end{aligned}$$

because the second term is just $E\left(p - \frac{X}{n}\right) = 0$.

We now claim that

$$\sum_{x=0}^{k-1} \left(p - \frac{x}{n}\right) \binom{n}{x} p^x (1-p)^{n-x} = \binom{n-1}{k-1} p^k (1-p)^{n-k+1}.$$

This claim will be proven by induction. First, for $k = 1$, by inspection, both sides are equal to $p(1-p)^n$. Now assume the claim to be true for some k . We must show that it is then also true for $k + 1$.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{x=0}^k \left(p - \frac{x}{n}\right) \binom{n}{x} p^x (1-p)^{n-x} &= \sum_{x=0}^{k-1} \left(p - \frac{x}{n}\right) \binom{n}{x} p^x (1-p)^{n-x} \\ &\quad + \left(p - \frac{k}{n}\right) \binom{n}{k} p^k (1-p)^{n-k} \\ &= \binom{n-1}{k-1} p^k (1-p)^{n-k+1} \\ &\quad + \left(p - \frac{k}{n}\right) \binom{n}{k} p^k (1-p)^{n-k} \\ &= \frac{p^k (1-p)^{n-k}}{(n-k)!} \\ &\quad \times \left[\frac{(n-1)!(1-p)}{(k-1)!} + \frac{n!}{k!} \left(p - \frac{k}{n}\right) \right] \\ &= \frac{p^k (1-p)^{n-k}}{(n-k)!} \\ &\quad \times \left[\frac{k(n-1)!(1-p)}{k!} + \frac{(n-1)!}{k!} (np - k) \right] \\ &= \frac{p^k (1-p)^{n-k} (n-1)!}{k!(n-k)!} (k(1-p) + (np - k)) \\ &= \frac{p^{k+1} (1-p)^{n-k} (n-1)!(n-k)}{k!(n-k)!} \\ &= \frac{p^{k+1} (1-p)^{n-k} (n-1)!}{k!(n-k-1)!} \\ &= \binom{n-1}{(k+1)-1} p^{k+1} (1-p)^{n-(k+1)+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the claim is true for $k + 1$. Therefore, by induction, the claim is true for all $k \geq 1$.

Thus we have shown that

$$E \left| \frac{x}{n} - p \right| = \binom{n-1}{k-1} p^k (1-p)^{n-k+1} \quad \text{for} \quad \frac{k-1}{n} \leq p \leq \frac{k}{n}.$$

Problem 2.1

Let $A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathcal{A}$, where \mathcal{A} is a σ -algebra. Clearly, by the definition of σ -algebra, $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \in \mathcal{A}$. We also have $A_i^c \in \mathcal{A}$, since σ -algebra's are closed under complementation. This means $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i^c \in \mathcal{A}$, and hence, by De Morgan's Law,

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i = \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i^c \right)^c \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Problem 2.9

If f is integrable with respect to μ , so is $|f|$, and $|\int f d\mu| \leq \int |f| d\mu$. [Hint: Express $|f|$ in terms of f^+ and f^- .]

Solution. $f = f^+ - f^-$. Since f is integrable with respect to μ , both f^+ and f^- are integrable with respect to μ . Therefore $|f| = f^+ + f^-$ is integrable with respect to μ as well, and

$$\left| \int f d\mu \right| = \left| \int f^+ d\mu - \int f^- d\mu \right| \leq \left| \int f^+ d\mu \right| + \left| \int f^- d\mu \right|$$

by the triangle inequality. Then

$$\left| \int f^+ d\mu \right| + \left| \int f^- d\mu \right| = \int f^+ d\mu + \int f^- d\mu$$

since both are positive, and

$$\int f^+ d\mu + \int f^- d\mu = \int (f^+ + f^-) d\mu = \int |f| d\mu.$$

Thus $|\int f d\mu| \leq \int |f| d\mu$.

Problem 3.8

Independently choose $X \sim \text{Exponential}(\lambda)$, $Y \sim \text{Exponential}(\mu)$, and define

$$Z = \min\{X, Y\} \quad \text{and} \quad W = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } Z = X \\ 0 & \text{if } Z = Y \end{cases} .$$

Let $z \geq 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} P(Z \leq z, W = 1) &= P(X \leq z, X \leq Y) = \int_{x=0}^z \int_{y=x}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda} e^{-\frac{1}{\lambda}x} \frac{1}{\mu} e^{-\frac{1}{\mu}y} dy dx \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda\mu} \int_{x=0}^z e^{-\frac{1}{\lambda}x} \left[-\mu e^{-\frac{1}{\mu}y} \right]_{y=x}^{\infty} dx = \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_{x=0}^z e^{-x(\frac{1}{\lambda} + \frac{1}{\mu})} dx \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{-\lambda\mu}{\lambda + \mu} e^{-x(\frac{1}{\lambda} + \frac{1}{\mu})} \Big|_{x=0}^z = \frac{\mu}{\lambda + \mu} \left(1 - e^{-z(\frac{1}{\lambda} + \frac{1}{\mu})} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$P(Z \leq z, W = 0) = P(Y \leq z, Y \leq X) = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda + \mu} \left(1 - e^{-z(\frac{1}{\lambda} + \frac{1}{\mu})} \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned} P(Z \leq z) &= P(Z \leq z, W = 1) + P(Z \leq z, W = 0) = \frac{\lambda + \mu}{\lambda + \mu} \left(1 - e^{-z(\frac{1}{\lambda} + \frac{1}{\mu})} \right) \\ &= 1 - e^{-z(\frac{1}{\lambda} + \frac{1}{\mu})} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} P(W = 1) &= P(X \leq Y) = \int_{x=0}^{\infty} \int_{y=x}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda} e^{-\frac{1}{\lambda}x} \frac{1}{\mu} e^{-\frac{1}{\mu}y} dy dx = \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_{x=0}^{\infty} e^{-x(\frac{1}{\lambda} + \frac{1}{\mu})} dx \\ &= \frac{-\mu}{\lambda + \mu} e^{-x(\frac{1}{\lambda} + \frac{1}{\mu})} \Big|_{x=0}^{\infty} = \frac{-\mu}{\lambda + \mu} (0 - 1) = \frac{\mu}{\lambda + \mu} \end{aligned}$$

$$P(W = 0) = 1 - P(W = 1) = 1 - \frac{\mu}{\lambda + \mu} = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda + \mu}$$

$$P(Z \leq z, W = 1) = \frac{\mu}{\lambda + \mu} \left(1 - e^{-z(\frac{1}{\lambda} + \frac{1}{\mu})} \right) = P(W = 1) P(Z \leq z)$$

$$P(Z \leq z, W = 0) = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda + \mu} \left(1 - e^{-z(\frac{1}{\lambda} + \frac{1}{\mu})} \right) = P(W = 0) P(Z \leq z)$$

Thus, the coded variables Z and W are independent.

Problem 4.1

If the distributions of a positive random variable X form a scale family, show that the distributions of $\log X$ form a location family.

Solution. Let U be a random variable with a fixed distribution F_1 , and let $X = bU$ with $b > 0$. Then the distributions of X form a scale family. Now consider the distributions of $\log X = \log b + \log U$. (Note that $\log X$ is a monotonically increasing function of X .)

$$P(\log X \leq y) = P(\log b + \log U \leq y) = P(\log U \leq y - \log b) = F_2(y - c),$$

where $c = \log b$ and F_2 is the distribution of $\log U$. Thus the distributions of $\log X$ form a location family.

Problem 4.3

Let $U \sim U(0,1)$. Define the element $g_a \in G$ of the transformation group where $g_a(U) = U^a$.

(1) Clearly if $a, b > 0$, then $ab > 0$. So

$$(g_a \circ g_b)(U) = (U^b)^a = U^{ab} = g_{ab}(U)$$

Clearly, g_{ab} is a member of G , so G is closed under completion.

(2) With the use of the associative property of multiplication, we see

$$\begin{aligned} ((g_a \circ g_b) \circ g_c)(U) &= (g_{ab} \circ g_c)(U) = g_{ab}(g_c(U)) = (U^c)^{ab} = \\ &= (U^{bc})^a = g_a(g_{bc}(U)) = (g_a \circ g_{bc})(U) = (g_a \circ (g_b \circ g_c))(U) \end{aligned}$$

(3) Clearly, g_1 is the identity element of G . It follows that for any $a > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} (g_a \circ g_1)(U) &= (U^1)^a = (U^a)^1 = (g_1 \circ g_a)(U) \\ &= U^a = g_a(U). \end{aligned}$$

(4) For any $a > 0$, we have $\frac{1}{a} > 0$, and so $g_{a^{-1}} \in G$.

$$\begin{aligned} g_1(U) &= U = (U^a)^{\frac{1}{a}} = (g_{a^{-1}} \circ g_a)(U) \\ &= (U^{\frac{1}{a}})^a = (g_a \circ g_{a^{-1}})(U). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $g_{a^{-1}}$ is the inverse of g_a .

Hence, G satisfies the conditions and describes a legitimate group.

Restrict attention to $u, x \in [0, 1]$.

$$F_U(u) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{for } u < 0, \\ u, & \text{for } u \in [0, 1], \\ 1, & \text{for } u > 1. \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{aligned} F_X(x) &= P(X \leq x) = P(U^\alpha \leq x) = P(U \leq \sqrt[\alpha]{x}) = F_U(\sqrt[\alpha]{x}) \\ &= \begin{cases} 0, & \text{for } x < 0, \\ \sqrt[\alpha]{x}, & \text{for } x \in [0, 1], \\ 1, & \text{for } x > 1. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

$$f_X(x) = \frac{d}{dx} F_X(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\alpha} x^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1}, & \text{for } x \in [0, 1], \\ 0, & \text{for } x \notin [0, 1]. \end{cases}$$

Problem 4.15

The following two families of distributions are not group families:

(a) The class of binomial distributions $b(p, n)$ with n fixed and $0 < p < 1$.

Solution. Let $U \sim b(p_0, n)$ for some p_0 , where $0 < p_0 < 1$. Now consider the distributions of $g(U)$, where $g : \{0, 1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$. There are only finitely many such transformations g , so the family of distributions of $g(U)$ will consist of only finitely many distributions. However, the family of binomial distributions $b(p, n)$ with n fixed and $0 < p < 1$ consists of infinitely many distributions since there are infinitely many possibilities for p . Thus the family of binomial distributions is not a group family.

(b) The class of Poisson distributions $\text{Poisson}(\lambda)$, $0 < \lambda$.

Solution. Let $V \sim \text{Poisson}(1)$. Suppose the class of Poisson distributions $\text{Poisson}(\lambda)$, $0 < \lambda$, forms a group family. Then there must exist a transformation $h : \{0, 1, 2, \dots\} \rightarrow \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, such that $h(V) \sim \text{Poisson}(2)$, and such that h^{-1} exists. Then

$$P(h(V) = 0) = \frac{1}{0!} 2^0 e^{-2} = e^{-2}.$$

Now apply h^{-1} to get

$$P(h(V) = 0) = P(h^{-1}(h(V)) = h^{-1}(0)) = P(V = h^{-1}(0)) = e^{-2}.$$

Let $y = h^{-1}(0)$. Clearly $y \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$. Then $P(V = y) = e^{-2}$, where $V \sim \text{Poisson}(1)$. This means that

$$e^{-2} = P(V = y) = \frac{1}{y!} 1^y e^{-1} = \frac{1}{y!} e^{-1},$$

which implies that $y! = e$, which cannot be satisfied by any $y \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$. Thus there is no transformation h such that $h(V) \sim \text{Poisson}(2)$. Therefore the family of Poisson distributions is not a group family.